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## Hermitem (op) properties properties

1) The subjective value of pyramidal effects is real, this change is made using variables to represent observed quantities because the values of these properties are physical and are therefore considered accurate properties.
We assume that the function is a real function of the ( $\psi_{n}$ ) pyramidal effect so as to achieve the equation of self-value.

$$
\hat{A} \psi_{n}=a n \psi_{n}
$$

Where $a_{n}$ represents the subjective value of the function.
The true value of the pyramidal effect can thus be demonstrated as follows:
a. We multiply the equation with the motor function. $\psi_{n}^{*}$

$$
\Psi_{n}^{*} \hat{A} \Psi_{n}=a_{n} \int \frac{\Psi_{n}^{*} \Psi_{n}}{1} d \tau
$$

The hierarchical adjective can be applied to this function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \Psi_{n}^{*} \hat{A} \Psi_{n}=\int(\psi_{n}^{*} \underbrace{\hat{A} \Psi_{n}}_{a_{n} \Psi_{\mathrm{n}}})^{*}=a_{n}^{*} \iint_{1 \text { 帾 }}^{\Psi_{n}^{*} \Psi_{n} d \tau} \\
& a_{n}=\quad \underbrace{a_{n}^{*}}_{n} \\
& \text { Hermitim actual }
\end{aligned}
$$

2）Self－worth functions are different with the influence of two pyramids orthogonal pleasures．Tostabilize this property，take two different functions
$\left(\psi_{\mathrm{n}}, \psi_{m}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{m} \psi_{m}=\hat{A} \psi_{m} \\
a_{n} \psi_{n}=\hat{A} \psi_{n} \\
\int \psi_{m}^{*} \frac{\hat{A} \psi_{n}}{A_{n} \psi_{n}} d \tau=a n \int \psi_{m}^{*} \Psi_{n} d \tau
\end{gathered}
$$

The effect $\hat{A}$ affects the function according to the （ $\psi_{n}$ ）self－value equation．

The influencer wouldn＇t have $\hat{A}$ influenced my pyramid， so we could take the alternative of the hierarchical character，which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \Psi_{n}^{*} \hat{A} \psi_{m} d \tau=\int\left(\psi_{n}^{*} \frac{\hat{A} \psi_{m}}{A_{m} \text { 宏 } \mathrm{m}}\right)^{*} d \tau a_{m} \int \psi_{m}^{*} \psi_{n} d \tau \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two equations can be equated

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{n} \int \psi_{m}^{*} \Psi_{n} d \tau=a_{m} \int \psi_{m}^{*} \Psi_{n} d \tau \\
a_{n}-a_{m} \int \psi_{m}^{*} \psi_{n} d \tau \quad \text { hermetion orthogonality }
\end{gathered}
$$

## 3) Commute Interchange

To show theeffects $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$ have the same unknown of subjective functions where the effecter's function is subjective to Âfunction $\psi_{n}$ as follows: -

$$
\hat{A} \psi_{n}=a_{n} \psi_{n}
$$

An:- Represents the subjective value of the influencer. $\hat{A}$
$\because$ and since it $\hat{A} f i t s$ in with $\hat{B} \quad \hat{A} \hat{B}=\hat{B} \hat{A}$
So we can prove the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{A} \hat{B} \Psi_{n} & =\hat{B} \hat{A} \Psi_{n} \\
\hat{A} \Psi_{n} & =a_{n} \Psi_{n} \\
\hat{B} \Psi_{n} & =b_{n} \Psi_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{A} \hat{B} \Psi_{n}=\hat{A}\left(\underline{\hat{B} \Psi_{\mathrm{n}}}\right)=b_{n} \underline{\hat{A} \Psi_{n}}=b_{n} a_{n} \Psi_{\mathrm{n}} \\
\hat{B} \hat{A} \Psi_{n}=\hat{B}\left(\underline{\hat{A} \Psi_{n}}\right)=a_{n} \underline{\hat{B} \psi_{n}}=a_{n} b_{n} \psi_{n} \\
a_{n} b_{n} \psi_{n}=b_{n} a_{n} \psi_{n} \text { Hermetim Comnute }
\end{gathered}
$$

## 3) Third hypothesis third pastulate

If it Arepresents a certain amount and has a set of subjective functions that achieve the following equation:-

$$
\hat{A} \psi_{n}=a_{n} \psi_{n}
$$

If a series of practical measurements of this amount ( $a_{n}$ ) are made, they should all give one value, $a_{n}$.

## 4) Fourth hypothesis fourth pastulate

If the effect represents a reduced amount of a Ĥsystem described by the function $\psi_{n}$ and is not a subjective function of the effect, a series of Âpractical measurements of the amount an does not give a single value but a set of different values distributed around a numerical average called expectation value

$$
\left(E_{\text {exp }}\right)=\frac{\int \psi_{m}^{*} \hat{A} \Psi_{n} d \tau}{\psi_{m}^{*} \psi_{n} d \tau}=\frac{\langle n| \hat{A}|m\rangle}{\langle n \mid m\rangle}
$$

If the function is together, the denominator $=1$
The third hypothesis is a special case of the fourth hypothesis because when the function is $\psi_{n}$ subjective to the influencer, Âthe expected value is subjective.

Ex:- Calculate the expectation value for on if you know

$$
? \frac{d}{d x} e^{-\alpha x} \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{*}=e^{-i \alpha x}, \quad \boldsymbol{\psi}=e^{+i \alpha x}
$$

solu

$$
\left\langle E_{\text {exp }}\right\rangle=\frac{\int \psi_{m}^{*} \hat{A} \psi_{n} d \tau}{\int \psi_{m}^{*} \psi_{n} d \tau}=\frac{\int \psi^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi d x}{\int \psi^{*} \psi d x}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\frac{\int e^{-i \alpha x} \frac{d}{d x} e^{+i \alpha x} d x}{\int e^{-i \alpha x} e^{+i \alpha x} d x}=\frac{\int e^{-i \alpha x} \frac{d}{d x} e^{+i \alpha x} d x}{\int e^{0} d x} \\
=\frac{\int e^{-i \alpha x} \alpha x e^{+i \alpha x} d x}{\int d x}=\frac{\alpha x \int e^{-i \alpha x} e^{+i \alpha x} d x}{\int d x} \\
\frac{\alpha x \int e^{0} d x}{\int d x}=\frac{\alpha x d x}{d x}=\frac{\alpha x}{x} \\
\therefore\left\langle E_{\text {exp }}\right\rangle=\alpha x
\end{gathered}
$$

## 5) Fifth pastulate hypothesis

It is a collection of many issues in quantum mechanics and spectroscopy that are concerned with time-based phenomena. In this case, we use the time-based
Schrodenker equation to describe a particular timebased system according to quantum mechanics.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{H} \psi(x, y)=-\frac{\hbar}{i} \cdot \frac{\psi}{\psi_{t}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, it is difficult to find a solution to the timebased Schrodinger equation, but the separation of variables is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} \psi(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}+v(x) \Psi_{(x, y, z)}=\frac{-\hbar}{i} \cdot \frac{\psi}{\psi_{t}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Variables can be separated

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{(x, t)}=\Psi_{(x)} \cdot f(t)_{-} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We make up 2 in 3 and divide. $\psi_{(x)}, f(t)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \cdot \frac{1}{\Psi_{(x)}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{(x)}}{\partial x^{2}}+v \Psi_{(x, y, z)} \\
& =\frac{-\hbar^{2}}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{f(t)} \cdot \frac{d f(t)}{d t} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$\because$ the two sides of the last equation depends on two different variables the right end includes ( t ) and the left depends on (x)) so each of the terminal equals a constant and thus the equation becomes as follows:
$-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi_{(x)}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{(x)}}{\partial x^{2}}+v=E$

It is the equation of Schrodenker..... Time-based, therefore, can be written regularly as follows: -

$$
\widehat{H} \psi_{(x)}=E_{\psi_{(x)}}
$$

