

Def: Every non-zero polynomial in the polynomial domain $F[x]$ over the field F , which has its multiplicative inverse in $F[x]$ is called a unit in $F[x]$.

Ex: $f(x) = 7$, $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x] \Rightarrow f(x)$ is unit since $\frac{1}{7} \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ s.t. $7 \cdot \frac{1}{7} = 1$

Def: A non-zero polynomial $f(x)$ in the polynomial domain $F[x]$ of a field F is said to be an irreducible or a prime polynomial, if $f(x)$ has no proper divisors, otherwise it is reducible.
 i.e. A non-zero polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$ is irreducible over F (or irreducible in $F[x]$) if $f(x)$ cannot be expressed as a product $g(x) \cdot h(x)$ of two polys. $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ both of lower degree, ~~than~~ ~~the~~ of the degree of $f(x)$. The poly which is not irreducible is said to be reducible.

Ex-1: Let $f(x) = x^2 - 2$, $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$

$\therefore f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} since

$$x^2 - 2 = (x - \sqrt{2})(x + \sqrt{2}), \quad \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$$

But $f(x)$ is reducible over \mathbb{R} , $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}$

i.e. $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ then $f(x)$ is reducible.

Def: An irreducible polynomial $f(x)$ is a non-constant polynomial such that in any factorization $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ in $F[x]$ either $g(x)$ or $h(x)$ is a unit.

Ex. $6x+3 = \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{unit in } \mathbb{Q}}}{3}(2x+1)$, $\therefore 6x+3 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$

Ex: Show that $f(x) = x^3 + 3x + 2$, $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$.

Sol: If $f(x)$ is reducible $\Rightarrow f(x)$ has factored in $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$ into poly. of lower degree $\Rightarrow \exists$ a linear factor of $f(x)$ of the form $x-a$, for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_5$
 $\Rightarrow f(a) = 0$

But $f(1) = 1$, $f(2) = 1$, $f(3) = 3$, $f(4) = 3$, $f(0) = 2$

$\therefore f(x)$ has no root in \mathbb{Z}_5

$\therefore f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$.

Theorem 4-7: Let $f(x) \in F[x]$, and $f(x)$ is of degree 2 or 3 then $f(x)$ is reducible in F iff it has a root in F .

Proof: (\Rightarrow) suppose that $f(x)$ is reducible in F .

$\Rightarrow f(x) = g(x) \cdot h(x)$, $\deg g(x), \deg h(x) < \deg f(x)$

$\therefore f(x)$ is either quadratic or cubic then either $\deg g(x)$ or $\deg h(x)$ is one.

If $\deg g(x) = 1 \Rightarrow g(x) = x - a \Rightarrow g(a) = 0 \Rightarrow f(a) = 0$

$\Rightarrow f(x)$ has a root in F .

(\Leftarrow) suppose that $f(x)$ has a root in F , say a .

$\Rightarrow x - a$ is a factor of $f(x)$ [$\deg f(x) = 2$ or 3]

$\Rightarrow f(x)$ is reducible

[لأنها امتلأ جزأه
[$f(x) = (x-a)g(x)$]

Theorem 4.8: Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a prime number. Suppose that

$f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$ is in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, and

$a_n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, but $a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $i < n$, with

$a_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. Then $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

ثبوت برهان

Ex. Show that $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ s.t. ~~is~~

$f(x) = 25x^5 - 9x^4 + 3x^2 - 12$ is irreducible ~~in~~ over \mathbb{Q} .

SOL! $a_0 = -12, a_1 = 0, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 0, a_4 = -9, a_5 = 25$

Take $p = 3$.

$$a_0 = -12 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \Rightarrow -12 = 3k \Rightarrow -12 = 3(-4)$$

$$a_1 = 0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \Rightarrow 0 = 3(0)$$

$$a_2 = 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \Rightarrow 3 = 3(1)$$

$$a_3 = 0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \Rightarrow 0 = 3(0)$$

$$a_4 = -9 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \Rightarrow -9 = 3(-3)$$

$$a_5 = 25 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \Rightarrow 25 \neq 3k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$a_0 = -12 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3^2} \Rightarrow -12 \neq 9k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

by theorem 4.8 $\Rightarrow f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Theorem 4.9: An ideal $(p(x)) \neq \{0\}$ of $F[x]$ is maximal iff $p(x)$ is irreducible over F .

Proof: Suppose that $(p(x))$ is maximal in $F[x]$.

then $(p(x)) \neq F[x] \Rightarrow p(x) \in F[x]$.

Let $p(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$ in $F[x]$.

$\therefore (p(x))$ is maximal $\Rightarrow (p(x))$ is prime [Theorem 2.18]

$\therefore f(x)g(x) \in (p(x))$

\Rightarrow either $f(x) \in (p(x))$ or $g(x) \in (p(x))$.

$\Rightarrow f(x) = q(x)p(x)$

or $g(x) = r(x)p(x)$ c!

since the degree of both $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ can not be less than degree of $p(x)$.

$\therefore p(x)$ is irreducible over F .

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $p(x)$ is irreducible over F .

T.P. $(p(x))$ is maximal in $F[x]$.

Let N be an ideal of $F[x]$ s.t. $(p(x)) \subseteq N \subseteq F[x]$.

By Theorem 4.6 $\Rightarrow N$ is principal $\Rightarrow \exists g(x) \in F[x]$

s.t. $N = (g(x))$

$\therefore p(x) \in N \Rightarrow p(x) = \underbrace{q(x)}_{\text{unit}} \cdot g(x)$, $q(x) \in F[x]$.

But $p(x)$ is irreducible, then either $q(x)$ or $g(x)$ is of degree zero.

If $g(x)$ is of degree 0 $\Rightarrow g(x)$ is a unit in $F[x]$.

$(g(x)) = F[x]$

$\therefore N = F[x]$

If $q(x)$ is of degree 0, then $q(x) = c \in F[x]$

and $g(x) = \frac{1}{c} \cdot p(x)$

$\Rightarrow g(x) \in (p(x)) \Rightarrow (g(x)) \subseteq (p(x))$

$\Rightarrow N \subseteq (p(x)) \Rightarrow N = (p(x))$

$\therefore (p(x))$ is maximal ideal in $F[x]$.

chapter Five

The chain

Def-1 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a comm. ring then R is said to satisfy the Ascending chain condition (A.C.C.) on ideal if $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$ then there exist $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $I_n = I_{n+1} = \dots$

i.e. Every Ascending chain of ideals in a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ must be stationary

Ex- $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ satisfies Ascending chain condition
 $(8) \subseteq (4) \subseteq (2)$

Ex-1 \mathbb{Z}_n satisfies A.C.C.

Theorem 5.11 For any ring $(R, +, \cdot)$, the following conditions are equivalent:

- ① R satisfies the A.C.C. on ideals
- ② Every non-empty collection of ideals has a maximal element.

③ Every ideal of R is finitely-generated.

Proof: $1 \Rightarrow 2$ suppose that R satisfies the A.C.C.

let C be a non-empty collection of ideals in R .

$\therefore C \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists I_1 \in C \Rightarrow I_1$ is not maximal ideal.

$\Rightarrow \exists I_2 \in C$ s.t. $I_1 \subseteq I_2$ and I_2 is not maximal.

$\Rightarrow \exists I_3 \in C$ s.t. $I_2 \subseteq I_3$ and I_3 is not maximal.

and so on. Then we have $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots \subseteq C!$

Since R satisfies A.C.C.

$\therefore C$ has a maximal element.

$2 \Rightarrow 3$ let I be an ideal of R and I is not finitely generated.

$\therefore I \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists a_1 \in I \Rightarrow$ s.t. $I_1 = (a_1) \subseteq I$

$\Rightarrow \exists a_2 \in I, a_2 \notin I_1 \Rightarrow I_1 \subseteq I_2 = (a_1, a_2)$

Thus we get $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I$

and so on we have $a_n \in I, a_n \notin I_{n-1}$ s.t.

$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_{n-1} \subseteq I \subseteq \dots$

let $C = \{I_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \Rightarrow C \neq \emptyset$

By ② C has maximal element

let I_k be a maximal element of C which is

contradiction since $I_k \subseteq I_{k+1} \in C$

$\Rightarrow I$ must be finitely generated.

$\exists \Rightarrow 1$ Let $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$ be the A.C.C. of ideals in R .

Put $I = \bigcup_n I_n$, I is an ideal of R .

By hypotheses I is finite generated

$\Rightarrow \exists a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in R$ s.t.

$$I = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$$

Assume that $a_1 \in I_{k_1}, a_2 \in I_{k_2}, \dots, a_m \in I_{k_m}$

Let $r = \max\{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$

$\Rightarrow a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in I_r$

But $I_{r+1} \subseteq I$

$\Rightarrow I_{r+1} \subseteq I_r$ [$I \subseteq I_r$]

But $I_r \subseteq I_{r+1} \Rightarrow I_r = I_{r+1}$

$\therefore R$ satisfies the A.C.C.

Def-1 A ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ with identity is called Noetherian if R satisfies the A.C.C.