

Tautology:-

is a formula or assertion that is true in every possible interpretation for example  $x = y \text{ or } x \neq y$

$P \equiv x = y$	$q: x \neq y$	$P \vee q$
T	F	T
F	T	T

Tautology

i.e.; tautology is a statement that is always true

Contradiction:-

is a statement that is always false

$P$	$q$	$P \wedge q$
T	F	F
F	T	F

logical equivalence:

the two statements are logically equivalent if they have the same truth table. for example if  $P \equiv P$  and  $Q \equiv \sim(\sim P)$

$P$	$\sim P$	$\sim(\sim P)$
T	F	T
F	T	F

that is,  $P \equiv Q$

logical conclusion:-

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  are phrases, we say that  $P$  be logically requiring from  $Q$  or  $Q$  implies logically from  $P$  and written by  $P \Rightarrow Q$  if  $P \rightarrow Q$  tautology and if  $Q$  isn't conclude from  $P$  and written by  $P \not\Rightarrow Q$

Ex:-

$$\text{let } p: (P \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$$

$$Q: P \rightarrow r$$

Is  $P \Rightarrow Q$ ?

Sol:-

P	q	r	$P \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow r$	$(P \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$	$P \rightarrow r$	$P \Rightarrow Q$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T

$\therefore P \Rightarrow Q$  because  $P \rightarrow Q$  is a tautology

## Definitions:-

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be apthases then:

- ①  $Q \rightarrow P$  be the converse of  $P \rightarrow Q$
- ②  $\sim Q \rightarrow \sim P$  be the contrapositive of  $P \rightarrow Q$ .

## Theorem:-

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two statement

- ①  $P \rightarrow Q$  iff  $\sim P \vee Q$  is a tautology.
- ②  $P \rightarrow Q \wedge Q \rightarrow P$  then  $P \equiv Q$ .

## Proof:-

① Let  $P \rightarrow Q$  we have to proof that  $\sim P \vee Q$  be a tautology

Since  $P \Rightarrow Q$  then  $P \rightarrow Q$  is a tautology

then  $P \rightarrow Q \equiv \sim P \vee Q$  (i.e.)

then  $\sim P \vee Q$  is a tautology

## Conversly:-

Let  $\sim P \vee Q$  be a tautology we have to proof that  $P \rightarrow Q$

(i.e.  $P \rightarrow Q$  is a tautology)

Since  $\sim P \vee Q$  is a tautology

then  $P \rightarrow Q \equiv \sim P \vee Q$  and since  $\sim P \vee Q$  is a tautology then  $P \rightarrow Q$  is a tautology and  $P \Rightarrow Q$

Ex :-

Prove that  $P \Rightarrow Q$  iff  $\sim Q \Rightarrow \sim P$

Sol :-

Since  $P \Rightarrow Q$  then  $P \rightarrow Q$  is a tautology

Since  $\sim Q \rightarrow \sim P \equiv P \rightarrow Q$

Then  $\sim Q \rightarrow \sim P$  is a tautology that is  $\sim Q \Rightarrow \sim P$

Conversely :-

Let  $\sim Q \Rightarrow \sim P$

Since  $\sim Q \Rightarrow \sim P$  is a tautology then  $\sim Q \rightarrow \sim P$  is a tautology

$\therefore P \rightarrow Q \equiv \sim Q \rightarrow \sim P$  that is  $P \rightarrow Q$  is a tautology

thus  $P \Rightarrow Q$

## Algebra of Statements

Suppose that  $P$  and  $Q$  be statements then:

1 - Identity property

$$\textcircled{a} P \wedge q \equiv P \quad \textcircled{b} P \vee P \equiv P$$

2 - Commutativity property

$$\textcircled{a} P \vee Q \equiv Q \vee P \quad \textcircled{b} P \wedge Q \equiv Q \wedge P$$

3 - Associativity property

$$\textcircled{a} (P \vee Q) \vee R \equiv P \vee (Q \vee R)$$

$$\textcircled{b} (P \wedge Q) \wedge R \equiv P \wedge (Q \wedge R)$$

4- Distributivity property :-

$$\textcircled{a} P \vee (Q \wedge R) \equiv (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$$

$$\textcircled{b} P \wedge (Q \vee R) \equiv (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$$

5- Identity property :-

$$\textcircled{a} P \vee I \equiv I$$

\textcircled{b} P \vee O \equiv P \quad \text{s.t } I \text{ is a tautology and } O \text{ is a contradiction}

6- Complementarity property :-

$$\textcircled{a} P \vee \sim P \equiv I \quad \textcircled{b} P \wedge \sim P \equiv O \quad \textcircled{c} \sim(\sim P) \equiv P$$

$$\textcircled{d} \sim I \equiv O \quad \textcircled{e} \sim O \equiv I$$

\text{s.t } I \text{ is a tautology statement and } O \text{ is a contradiction statement.}

7- DeMorgan laws :-

$$\textcircled{a} \sim(P \wedge Q) \equiv \sim P \vee \sim Q$$

$$\textcircled{b} \sim(P \vee Q) \equiv \sim P \wedge \sim Q$$

Remarks :-

① we can proof any of the above properties using truth table

②  $(P \rightarrow q) \equiv (\sim P \vee q)$  (an established fact) सिद्धान्त

Ex:-

prove without using truth table the following statement

$$\sim(P \rightarrow q) \equiv P \wedge \sim q$$

Proof:-

since  $P \rightarrow q \equiv \sim P \vee q$  then  $\sim(P \rightarrow q) \equiv \sim(\sim P \vee q)$

$$\sim(P \rightarrow q) \equiv P \wedge \sim q$$

## Existential quantifier and universal quantifiers

### Existential quantifiers:-

Suppose that  $p(x)$  is open sentence in  $X$  over the set  $A$  then the statement "there exist  $x \in A$  s.t  $p(x)$  is true" is called existential quantifier and denoted by  $\exists x \in A \exists p(x)$  is true and the symbol  $\exists$  is there exist and  $\exists$  is (s.t) such that:

### Universal property

Suppose that  $p(x)$  is an open set in  $X$  over  $A$  then the statement "for all  $x \in A$  s.t  $p(x)$  is true" is called universal quantifiers and denoted by  $\forall x \in A \exists p(x)$  is true and the symbol  $\forall$  is for all.

Ex:-

$(\forall x \in R \exists x^2 \geq 0)$  is a universal quantifiers and true

Ex :-

There exist  $x \in N$  s.t  $x = 7$

: existential quantifier and true

Ex :-

$\exists x \in N : x + 2 = 7$

existential quantifier and true

Ex :-

$\exists x \in N : x^2 = 1 \wedge x > 4$

existential quantifier and false

(1) Negation of statement which containing of universal quantifiers

$$(\forall x \in A : p(x)) \equiv \exists x \in A : \neg p(x)$$

(2) Negation of statement which containing of existential quantifier

$$(\exists x \in A : p(x)) \equiv \forall x \in A : \neg p(x).$$

Ex:-

What is the negation of the following statement:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} : ((x^2 - 1 > 0) \vee (x^2 + x > 0 \rightarrow x > 0))$$

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R} : (x^2 - 1 \leq 0) \wedge (x^2 + x \leq 0 \rightarrow x \leq 0)$$

Def:-

Argument: Let  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$  be a statement and  $S$  be a statement conclu from the statement  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ , then  $S$  be a argument and denoted by  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n \vdash S$

Remark:- The argument  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n \vdash S$  be true iff  $S_1 \wedge S_2 \wedge S_3 \dots \wedge S_n \rightarrow S$  is a tautology.

$$\textcircled{1} P \vee \neg q, P, \neg q \vdash P \vee q$$

Sol:-

$$S_1 = P \vee q, S_2 = \neg q, S = P \vee q$$

P	q	$\neg P$	$\neg q$	$P \vee \neg q$	$P \vee q$	$S_1 \wedge S_2$	$S_1 \wedge S_2 \rightarrow S$
T	T	F	F	T	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	F	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	F	T	T